

RELATOR THOMAS LINDLY brings this qui tam action in the name of the United States of America by and through his undersigned counsel and states and alleges as follows:

Summary Introduction

1. This is an action by qui tam Relator Thomas Lindly (“Relator” or “Lindly”), on behalf of the United States of America, to recover damages and penalties arising from false statements Alliant Techsystems, Inc. (“ATK”) made concerning the ammunition it sold to the U.S. Army.

2. ATK falsely stated that its .50-caliber ammunition conformed to government specifications, when in fact, a substantial portion of this ammunition was non-conforming material (“NCM”).

3. ATK knowingly commingled NCM with conforming ammunition so that NCM could be sold to the U.S. Army instead of being sent to salvage.

4. This practice saved ATK millions of dollars in labor and material costs. Unfortunately, it also resulted in U.S. Army personnel using inferior ammunition.

5. Relator was a Prime and Load Area Manager in the building that manufactured .50-caliber ammunition at the Lake City Army Ammunition Plant in Independence, Missouri.

6. He participated in management meetings where the practice of commingling NCM with conforming ammunition was openly discussed. He complained to his supervisors about this practice, resulting in his eventual termination.

7. Rather than allowing ATK to continue putting inferior ammunition in the hands of U.S. Army personnel, Relator decided to step forward and seek legal redress.

Parties

8. Relator Thomas Lindly is a citizen of the State of Missouri.

9. ATK is incorporated in the State of Delaware, and has its headquarters at 7480 Flying Cloud Drive, Minneapolis, Minnesota. ATK is a publicly-traded company registered on the New York Stock Exchange.

Jurisdiction and Venue

10. This action arises under the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729 *et seq.*

11. This Court maintains subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3732(a) (False Claims Act) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (Federal Question).

12. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3732(a) because ATK transacts business in this District and committed acts proscribed by 28 U.S.C. § 3729—acts giving rise to this action, in this District.

13. Before filing this Complaint, Lindly served a copy of same upon the United States, together with a written disclosure statement setting forth and enclosing all material evidence and information he possesses, pursuant to the requirements of 31 U.S.C. § 3730(b)(2).

14. Lindly has complied with all other conditions precedent to bringing this action.

15. Lindly is the original source of, and has direct knowledge of, all publicly disclosed information on which any allegations herein might be deemed based, and has voluntarily provided such information to the Government before filing this action.

Factual Allegations

Alliant Techsystems, Inc.

16. Defendant ATK is a premier aerospace and defense company and leading supplier of products to the U.S. Government, allied nations, and prime contractors. ATK is also a major supplier of ammunition and related accessories to law enforcement agencies and commercial customers.

17. ATK conducts its business through three business groups, ATK Mission Systems, ATK Space Systems and ATK Armament Systems.

18. ATK Armament Systems is the largest ammunition manufacturing entity in the world, supplying products to the military, hunting, sport shooting, homeland security, and law enforcement markets.

19. In fiscal 2008, ATK had total sales of \$4,171,725,000. Sales to the U.S. Government contributed approximately 78% of these total sales.

ATK Lake City Army Ammunition Plant

20. ATK, through its ATK Armament Systems group, operates the ATK Lake City Army Ammunition Plant (“LCAAP”) in Independence, Missouri.

21. LCAAP is the Department of Defense’s only small-caliber ammunition production facility. It lies within the city limits of Independence, MO, on the eastern edge of the Greater Kansas City metropolitan area. It encompasses 3,935 acres with a total of 511 structures with over 420 buildings being utilized in active day-to-day fabrication, manufacturing, and testing of small arms.

22. With the exception of the five-year period between the end of World War II and the beginning of the Korean conflict, the facility has been in continuous production since October 1941.

23. ATK took over operation of this facility on April 1, 2000, under a 10-year production contract that expires April 1, 2010.

24. ATK’s production contract requires ammunition to meet rigorous manufacturing specifications in order to be sold to the U.S. Government.

25. According to its public filings, ATK recently received notification that the U.S. Government intends to award a new four-year contract to ATK under which ATK would serve as the primary supplier of small caliber ammunition to the Department of Defense for both its training and tactical needs.

Manufacture and Testing of .50-Caliber Ammunition at LCAAP

26. LCAAP is the only facility manufacturing .50-caliber cartridges for the Department of Defense in the United States.

27. The manufacture and packing of .50-caliber ammunition at the LCAAP is subdivided into five sections: Bullets, Casings, Prime and Load, Gauge and Weigh, and Packing.

28. Prime and Load is where the ammunition components are assembled into a completed cartridge.

29. Primed casings are conveyed along a belt device until they reach a fill point. There, a machine operator fills each primed casing with the requisite amount of powder. A bullet is then inserted into the primed casing and the top of the casing is crimped around the base of the bullet.

30. The completed cartridge is conveyed along a chute and deposited into a four-wheeled cart or “buggy” that sits on the end of the chute leading away from the point of cartridge assembly.

31. Once a buggy has been filled with completed cartridges, it is wheeled to Gauge and Weigh. There, cartridges are gauged to ensure they are the correct size to chamber properly in the weapon system, and weighed to ensure that they contain sufficient propellant to expel the bullet projectile.

32. The ammunition manufactured at the LCAAP is packaged into lots. These lots consist of thousands of rounds.

33. Of the thousands of rounds of ammunition in each lot, a sampling of 150 is tested to determine whether the lot meets government specifications. If any one of the tested rounds fails, a second sampling of 150 is tested. If any one of the tested rounds in the second sampling fails, the entire lot fails, and is declared non-conforming material (“NCM”). NCM cannot be sold to the U.S. Government.

34. Depending on the type of round, some NCM can be sold in the civilian sector. Though it may not meet government specifications, NCM often possess adequate functionality for civilian use. Other NCM, such as armor-piercing incendiary rounds, cannot be sold in the civilian sector. This NCM is supposed to be sent to salvage.

35. NCM that is sent to salvage results in a substantial cost to ATK. Not only are rounds of ammunition wasted, ATK employees may be required to work additional hours to meet manufacturing quotas.

36. Accordingly, it is in ATK’s strong financial interest to save NCM from being sent to salvage if at all possible.

The Healing Wall

37. In order to save NCM from being sent to salvage, ATK employed a so-called “healing wall.”

38. The healing wall is simply a wall within the Packing area of Building Three.

39. NCM would be placed against this wall until conforming lots came through testing. At that point, NCM ammunition would be commingled with conforming ammunition.

The resulting lots were sold to the U.S. Government. This resulted in a fraud on the U.S. Government.

Thomas Lindly

40. Relator Thomas Lindly began working security at the LCAAP in August 2005. His normal working hours were from 7:30AM until 3PM, though he was on call 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.

41. In January 2007, Lindly transitioned from a security position to a manufacturing position.

42. In February 2008, Lindly became the Prime and Load Area Manager.

43. Lindly worked on the night shift that manufactured .50-caliber ammunition.

44. Lindly worked in Building Three, the only building at LCAAP where .50-caliber ammunition is manufactured.

45. Lindly's fellow managers and supervisors included:

Danny Harris: Building Three manager

Todd Langvin: Manager II, promoted in 2008 to Building 35 manager

Mike Robinson: Senior .50-caliber Prime and Load manager. Acting Manager II following the promotion of Todd Langvin

Brenna Mead: 50-caliber manufacturing, night shift, area manager, Gauge and Weigh. Ms. Mead also served as the supervisor of Packing.

Clayton Holland: 50-caliber manufacturing, night shift, area manager: Casings

JoAnn Martinez: 50-caliber manufacturing, day shift, area manager: Bullets

Brian Hedges: .50-caliber manufacturing, night shift, acting area manager: Bullets

46. Lindly participated in daily administrative meetings with his fellow area managers as well as superior managers.

47. Lindly acquired knowledge about the healing wall through these meetings.

48. During one particular meeting, the Gauge and Weigh Area Manager, Brenna Mead (“Mead”), told her supervisors Todd Langvin (“Langvin”) and Mike Robinson (“Robinson”) that the healing wall needed to be cleaned off.

49. Lindly inquired what the healing wall was. One of his co-workers, JoAnn Martinez (“Martinez”), informed him that the healing wall was an area where NCM was stored temporarily until it could be commingled with conforming ammunition, thereby reducing the amount of ammunition sent to salvage.

50. According to Martinez, the area was called the healing wall because NCM allegedly “settled” or “healed” while it was stored there.

51. Lindly confirmed the use of the healing wall through his own experience and observation.

52. As Prime and Load Areas Manager, he received notice whenever a lot of ammunition failed testing and was deemed NCM. This required Lindly to evaluate whether additional employee hours were needed to compensate for the production loss. Lindly noticed there was little, if any, correspondence between the amount of ammunition purportedly taken out of production as NCM, and the amount of additional hours that needed to be scheduled to make up for the purported production loss. No matter how many lots of ammunition were deemed NCM, ATK still seemed to have enough ammunition to fill the manufacturing quotas.

53. Sometime after learning about the healing wall, Lindly had a one-on-one conversation with Robinson. Lindly expressed discomfort with the fact that NCM was being commingled with conforming ammunition, and said he would never participate in this practice.

54. Rather than taking steps to eliminate or curtail use of the healing wall, Robinson took affirmative steps to ensure this practice could continue.

55. On at least one occasion, Robinson ran an extra weekend shift in Packing to clear off the healing wall and avoid detection by inspectors from the Department of Defense.

56. Robinson kept lots of conforming ammunition smaller so that NCM could be inserted in these lots without making them appear abnormally large.

57. Robinson began excluding Lindly from portions of management meetings where the healing wall was discussed. There were times when Lindly was literally asked to leave the room while other managers remained behind.

58. In December 2008, after expressing concerns to management about use of the healing wall, Lindly was terminated from his employment at ATK.

The False Claims Act and ATK

59. The False Claims Act (“FCA”) was enacted in 1863 to combat widespread fraud by government contractors during the Civil War. It provides that any person who (1) knowingly presents or causes to be presented to an official or employee of the United States Government a false or fraudulent claim for payment or approval, or (2) knowingly makes or uses a false record or statement to get a false or fraudulent claim paid or approved by the Government, or (3) conspires to defraud the Government by getting a false or fraudulent claim allowed or paid, is liable to the Government for a civil penalty of \$5,000 to \$10,000 and treble damages. 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a).

60. ATK knowingly presented multiple claims for payment to the U.S. Government for NCM, while falsely representing the NCM as conforming .50-caliber ammunition and/or failing to disclose that the NCM was not conforming .50-caliber ammunition.

61. In knowingly presenting multiple claims for payment to the U.S. Government for NCM, while falsely representing the NCM as conforming .50-caliber ammunition and/or failing

to disclose that the NCM was not conforming .50-caliber ammunition, ATK violated the False Claims Act.

Count I – Violation of the False Claims Act

62. Relator incorporates the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.

63. Defendant ATK, by and through its officers, agents and employees, (a) knowingly presented or caused to be presented to the United States Government a false or fraudulent claim for payment or approval; and /or (b) knowingly made, used, or caused to be made or used, a false record or statement to get a false or fraudulent claim made or approved by the Government.

64. Defendant ATK authorized and ratified all the violations of the False Claims Act committed by its various officers, agents, and employees.

65. The United States Government and the public fisc have been damaged as a result of ATK's violations of the False Claims Act.

WHEREFORE, Relator Thomas Lindly, on behalf of himself and the United States Government, prays:

- (i) that this Court enter a judgment against Defendant in an amount equal to three times the amount of damages the United States has sustained as a result of the Defendant's violations of the False Claims Act;
- (ii) That this Court enter a judgment against Defendant for a civil penalty of \$10,000 for each of Defendant's violations of the False Claims Act;
- (iii) That Relator Thomas Lindly recover all costs of this action, with interest, including the cost to the United States Government for its expenses related to this action;

- (iv) That Relator Thomas Lindly be awarded all reasonable attorneys' fees in bringing this action;
- (v) That in the event the United States Government proceeds with this action, Relator Thomas Lindly be awarded an amount for bringing this action of at least 15% but not more than 25% of the proceeds of this action;
- (vi) That in the event the United States Government does not proceed with this action, Relator Thomas Lindly be awarded an amount for bringing this action of at least 25% but not more than 30% of the proceeds of this action;
- (vii) That Relator Thomas Lindly be awarded prejudgment interest;
- (viii) That Realtor Thomas Lindly and the United States of America receive all relief to which either or both may be entitled at law or in equity.

Count II – Unlawful Discharge

- 66. Relator incorporates the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 67. Relator engaged in lawful conduct in furtherance of an action under 31 U.S.C. § 3730.
- 68. Relator was discharged or otherwise discriminated against in the terms or conditions of his employment by ATK.
- 69. Defendant ATK's discriminatory action was motivated by the conduct in furtherance of a an action under 31 U.S.C. § 3730.

WHEREFORE, Relator Thomas Lindly prays:

- (i) That this Court enter a judgment against Defendant in an amount equal to two times the amount of back pay to which Relator Thomas Lindly is entitled as a result of his wrongful discharge, plus interest on said back pay;

- (ii) That this Court enter a judgment against Defendant in an amount sufficient to compensate Relator Thomas Lindly for any special damages he sustained as a result of his wrongful discharge;
- (iii) That this Court enter a judgment against Defendant in an amount sufficient to compensate Relator Thomas Lindly for the emotional distress he sustained as a result of his wrongful discharge;
- (iv) That Relator Thomas Lindly recover all costs of this action, with interest;
- (v) That Relator Thomas Lindly be awarded all reasonable attorneys' fees in bringing this action; and
- (vi) That Relator Thomas Lindly be reinstated to his position of employment with ATK as it existed prior to his wrongful discharge.

Request for Jury Trial

Relator Thomas Lindly requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: August 17, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

**GRAVES BARTLE MARCUS &
GARRETT, LLC**

By: /s/ David L. Marcus

Todd P. Graves, MO Bar # 41319
Nathan F. Garrett, MO Bar # 46500
David L. Marcus, MO Bar # 47846
1100 Main Street
Suite 2600
Kansas City, MO
816-256-3181
Fax: 816-222-0534

THE LUNCEFORD LAW FIRM

David A. Lunceford, MO Bar # 47014
John J. Ziegelmeyer III, MO Bar # 59042
201 N.E. 1st Street
Lee's Summit, MO 64063
816-525-4701
Fax: 816-347-0366

**LAW OFFICES OF MARK A. JESS,
LLC**

Mark A. Jess, MO. Bar # 36946
Kansas City Livestock Exchange Building
1600 Genessee, Suite 842
Kansas City, Missouri 64102
816-474-4600
Fax: 816-474-4601

ATTORNEYS FOR RELATOR